General Project Information			
Project Name:			
Submittal Date:			
Lead Agency:			
Partner Agency/ies (if applicable):			
Project Type:			
Description of project in rel	ation to the goals of the IIJA	and LRTP 2045 (see instruct	ions):
	Project	Location	
		he project location.	
Municipality:		County:	
Roadway or Trail			
Termini:			
(or) Intersection of:			
	Contac	t Person	
Name:		Agency:	
Address:			
City, State, Zip:			
Phone:		Email:	

Project Funding				
Indicate preferred fiscal year for funding using the drop-down and fill in the funding table.				
		Fiscal Year:		
Category (federal %/local %)	TA Funds	Local Funds	Total	
Engineering (80/20)				
Right of Way (50/50)				
Construction (80/20)				
Utilities (80/20)				
Total				
	*** Total TA Fundi			
	Total Request must not exc	ceed TA Funds total above.		
Total Request:				
	Right-	of-Way		
Describe any right-of-way a	cquisition involved with the	project:		
	Util	lities		
Describe any utility relocations involved with the project:				

Project Evaluation Scoring Criteria

Project scoring is divided into two sections. Self-Scored Points (up to 85) are generated based on grant information provided by the applicant in the spaces below. Project Points (up to 15) will be awarded by the Review Subcommittee based on the narratives filled in by the applicant later in this document.

SELF-SCORED POINTS

A project may receive up to 85 points by answering all questions in t	his section.		
Regional Planning Documents (Maximum 10 Points)			
Is this project consistent with the goals and objectives of the Long-Range Transportation Plan 2045? Provide a brief narrative and identify the goals and objectives that relate to the project.			
Is this project consistent with the goals and objectives of <i>BikeConnect HOI: Heart of Illinois Regional Bicycle Plan</i> ? Provide a brief narrative and identify the goals and objectives that relate to the project.			
Select all that apply.			
Project is consistent with the goals and objectives outlined in the LRTP 2045. (5	5 points)		
Project is consistent with the goals and objectives outlined in BikeConnect HOI. (5 points)			
Project is NOT consistent with the goals and objectives of either plan. (0 points	·)		
Regional Planning Documents Score:			

Local Long-Range or Comprehensive Plan (Maximum 10 Points)			
Is the project consistent with the goals and objectives of the jurisdiction's long-range plan or comprehensive plan? Provide a brief narrative and identify the goals and objectives that relate to the project.			
Select one below.			
Project is consistent w	vith goals/objectives of the local long-range or comprehe	nsive plan. (10 points)	
Project is NOT consistent with goals/objectives of the local long-range or comprehensive plan. (0 points)			
Local Planning Documents Score:			
Co-Sponsorship (Maximum 10 Points)			
Is more than one municipality or organization involved with the planning and application process? Please identify the municipalities and organizations involved with the planning and application process of the project. Attach as a separate file a letter from each co-sponsor indicating their interest in the project.			
Municipality 1:	Organization 1:		
Municipality 2:	Organization 2:		
Select one below.			
Project involves <u>more</u>	than one other municipality or organization. (10 points)		
Project involves one other municipality or organization. (5 points)			
Project does not involve any other municipality or organization beyond my own. (0 points)			
Co-Sponsorship Score:			

Documented Public Involvement (Maximum 10 Points)			
Has a documented public involvement process been completed for the project? Provide a summary of the documented public involvement process that was used for the project and attach separately any public notice related to the public involvement process.			
Select one below.			
Project was discussed	at an advertised public hearing, forum, or other outreach	n session. (10 points)	
Project was discussed	Project was discussed at an open city, county, or other board meeting. (5 points)		
Project has no docume	ented public involvement. (0 points)		
Public Involvement Score:			
	Documented Community Support (Maximum 10 Points)		
Did the project receive letters of support from other entities such as neighboring communities, community organizations, individuals, or businesses? For each letter, check the "Letter #" box below and identify the entity that wrote it. Attach any letter(s) as a separate PDF document.			
Letter of Support	Letter is from		
Letter #1:			
Letter #2:			
Letter #3:			
Letter #4:			
Letter #5:			
Community Support Score:			

Connectivity (Maximum 10 Paints)		
Connectivity (Maximum 10 Points) Does this project achieve, facilitate, or promote the use of alternative modes or the interconnection of different modes of transportation? Does the project provide connections with employment centers, shopping areas, or schools? Provide a narrative listing all types of connections that the project will make.		
Use the drop-down to indicate the number of connections listed in your narrative. Two points will be awarded per connection type, for a maximum of 10 points.		
Connectivity Score:		
Infrastructure and Facilities (Maximum 10 Points)		
Does the project provide bicycle, pedestrian, or transit infrastructure and facilities?	Select all that apply.	
Project connects to an <u>existing</u> bicycle, pedestrian, or trail network. (6 points)		
Project connects to a <u>future</u> bicycle, pedestrian, or transit network. (3 points)		
Project includes any one of the following enhancements or support facilities: tr restrooms, lighting, crosswalks, bike racks, bike lockers, signs, trees, flowers, tr	• •	
Project will NOT include any additional enhancements or facilities. (0 points)		
Infrastructure and Facilities Score:		
Safety Factors (Maximum 10 Points)		
Does the project improve safety conditions in the regional transportation system? S	elect all that apply.	
Project identifies and corrects a public safety problem/situation at a specific lo	cation (4 points)	
Project is a separate path, not immediately adjacent to a roadway. (3 points)		
Project connects to locations previously inaccessible to bicycle, pedestrians, or transit. (3 points)		
Project does NOT address any of the above safety factors. (0 points)		
Safety Factors Score:		

Project Schedule and Readiness (Maximum 5 Points)

Does the project have a set schedule for completion, should it be awarded funds? Has any planning or preliminary engineering been done toward the project? Provide a summary or brief narrative of the project schedule and list any preliminary work that has been completed for the project.

Select all that apply below.

Project has a schedule for engineering, construction, and project completion. (3 points)

Planning and/or preliminary engineering has been completed for the project. (2 points)

The project presently has no schedule and no preliminary work has been completed. (0 points)

Schedule and Readiness Score:

SELF-SCORED CRITERIA SUMMARY

This is the end of the self-scored portion of the TA application. Below is summary of your project's Self-Scored Points and subtotal. When you are ready, go to the following page to complete the Project Points section.

Criterion	Max Points Available	This Project Scored
Regional Planning Documents	10	
Local Long-Range or Comprehensive Plan	10	
Co-Sponsorship	10	
Documented Public Involvement	10	
Documented Community Support	10	
Connectivity	10	
Infrastructure and Facilities	10	
Safety Factors	10	
Project Schedule and Readiness	5	
Subtotal	85	

PROJECT POINTS This section contains two prompts regarding your project: Anticipated Usage and Public Value. Use the boxes below for your answers. If you need more space, attach a PDF or Word document with your entire response(s). **Anticipated Usage** How many users do you anticipate? For example, the number of bicyclists or pedestrians on a trail, residents/visitors walking through the community, and so on. The Review Committee will assign a score of 1 through 10 based on the projected usage of the facility. **Public Value** What will the project bring into the community or general area and the value of it? Examples include tourism dollars, quality of life, etc. The Review Committee will assign a score of 1 through 5 based on the projected public value of the facility.

Congratulations! You have completed the TCRPC FY2021-2023 Transportation Alternatives Set-Aside application for your project. A summary of your submission is on the following page. Your project's total score will be calculated once the Review Committee assigns Project Points.

TA Submission Summary for

	,		
		Funding	
		Fiscal Year:	
Category	TA Funds	Local Funds	Total
Engineering:			
Right of Way:			
Construction:			
Utilities:			
Total:			
Total Request:		-	
	Self-S	cored Points	
Criterion		Max Points Available	This Project Scored
Regional Planning Documents		10	
Local Long-Range or Comprehensive Plan		10	
Co-Sponsorship		10	
Documented Public Involvement		10	
Documented Community Support		10	
Connectivity		10	
Infrastructure and Facilities		10	
Safety Factors		10	
Project Schedule and Readiness		5	
Self-Scored Subtotal		85	
	Project Points (***Assign	ed by Review Subcommittee**	*)
Anticipated Usage		10	
Public Value		5	
Project Points Subtotal		15	
	Fi	nal Score	
Total		100	

When you are pleased with your application you may save the document, click the button below, and follow the instructions to submit it to TCRPC staff.

 $Alternatively, you \ may \ save \ this \ document \ and \ email \ it \ to \ funding @tricountyrpc.org.$